HDI Report to HCCC  
(June's report revised to July)  

July 15, 2017

She:kon Sewakwe:kon

To assist the Chiefs & Clanmothers in communicating with their clan families, HDI is creating a new section on its page of the HCCC website, which will be used as an opportunity for clan families to review the material before it goes to council and provide clan members opportunity to speak to their Clanmother & Chief about the particular project and or topic being discussed.

Anyone who is uncertain of who they are to contact is free to contact the HDI office or a Chief & Clanmother of their choice to provide your input.

HDI has always worked under the direction of the HCCC in all matters and we will continue to do so. This change in the report is brought on in part, by recent posts and comments from individuals that simply are not true, and because a large number of our people do not attend the Chiefs Council at the 1st Saturday of each month, we are attempting to reach more people to assist the Royane & Yakoyane in their efforts of communication.

I trust this will be a welcome change and look forward to other suggestions for the website to assist our people in becoming fully engaged in the decisions of the HCCC. And as always, the door to HDI is always open for our people who want to know what is going on.

In the spirit of transparency and accountability

Nya weh!

Hazel E. Hill, Director.
1. Great Grand Valley 2 Wind (GGV2W) - 2438543 Ontario Inc.

In April 2017 HDI reported that the partners of the GGV2 Wind Project were exploring other financial options within the Grand Valley Wind Project when we reported that Veresen’s sale of its shares had gone to a union. We also reported that there would be a possible large payout up front which would mean distribution’s going forward would probably remain around $500,000 – $600,000 annually.

On May 31, 2017, HDI was notified by George Cholakis that the GGV2W partnership received its payout from the Veresen’s sale and wanted to meet in Burlington for lunch. They asked if a couple of representatives from the HCCC would like to attend. Just happened that the finance committee had a meeting that day and Chief Arnold Hill and Clanmother Mary Sandy were in attendance and therefore were asked them to attend the luncheon meeting on June 1, 2017 which they did.

It has been alleged that HDI only works with certain Chiefs & Clanmothers. This is simply not true. All of the Chiefs and Clanmothers are notified of meetings, by email, phone call or in person if necessary. We work with whoever shows up. HDI was also criticized for not bringing this information to our June 3rd council. HDI Director Hazel Hill and Financial Director Rick Saul discussed the payment and determined that it would be best to wait until the July report in hopes of having more information about the disbursement, therefore we did not make the information public. I am uncertain as to how it became a topic of discussion at council or why Chief Arnold Hill was put in a position of defending the decision. I apologize to Chief Hill, the Oneida Nation and to the Chiefs Council as this was never our intent. With transparency also comes responsibility and we continue to strive to provide as much detail as possible in the short time we are given to report.

As far as the payment to 2438543 Ontario Inc. from the Veresen sale; the amount received was just over four million dollars ($4,071,777.21). As you are aware, the details of our loan agreement provided that 90% of distributions received are to go directly to the lender to pay off our debt. In this case, we were able to pay off the balance of the loan at $2,584,334.71 leaving the remainder in the corporate account. There may be a small adjustment required after the audit is complete, however we will advise this council when and if that occurs.

GGV2W have yet to provide the breakdown on how the payout to the shareholders was determined, but we do know that it was a result of refinancing of the project. Because we haven’t received all of the details, KPMG has suggested that we hold off on the audit until all of the information has been received. We will provide this to council as soon as possible.

KPMG’s tax experts are looking in to possible tax impacts of receiving a payment of this amount, and we will bring that information to this council once we receive it.

Attached is the most recent financial statement of 2438543 Ontario Inc. for your review.
Attachment #1(a)
2438543 ONTARIO INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE 2 MONTHS ENDING MAY 31, 2017

June 23, 2017
## Statement of Financial Position

### Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash</strong></td>
<td>156,336</td>
<td>135,548</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment in GGV2LP</strong></td>
<td>958,893</td>
<td>1,166,893</td>
<td>2,571,893</td>
<td>2,973,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land</strong></td>
<td>2,147,683</td>
<td>2,147,683</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>3,262,912</td>
<td>3,450,124</td>
<td>2,580,493</td>
<td>2,973,243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accrued liabilities</strong></td>
<td>9,095</td>
<td>9,095</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payable to HDI - other</strong></td>
<td>2,875</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>6,592</td>
<td>6,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payable to HDI - land purchase &amp; mortgage payments</strong></td>
<td>910,500</td>
<td>866,986</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loan payable - investment in GGV2LP</strong></td>
<td>2,584,333</td>
<td>2,734,608</td>
<td>3,720,453</td>
<td>3,214,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortgage payable - land</strong></td>
<td>1,251,245</td>
<td>1,292,980</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>4,758,048</td>
<td>4,905,956</td>
<td>3,727,045</td>
<td>3,220,659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Shareholder's Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common shares</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retained earnings (deficit)</strong></td>
<td>-1,495,187</td>
<td>-1,455,881</td>
<td>-1,146,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Shareholder's Equity</strong></td>
<td>-1,495,137</td>
<td>-1,455,831</td>
<td>-1,146,552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,262,912</td>
<td>3,450,124</td>
<td>2,580,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2438543 ONTARIO INC.

**Statement of Operations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional fees</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>7,174</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit fees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,095</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous expense</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-391</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank fees</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss/(gain) from investment in GGV2LP *</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>314,000</td>
<td>26,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense - mortgage (land)</td>
<td>2,068</td>
<td>11,994</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense - loan (GGV2LP investment)</td>
<td>36,925</td>
<td>256,155</td>
<td>585,136</td>
<td>214,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39,305</td>
<td>309,279</td>
<td>899,136</td>
<td>247,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Income/(Loss)</strong></td>
<td>-39,305</td>
<td>-309,279</td>
<td>-899,136</td>
<td>-247,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Loss/(gain) from investment in GGV2LP (annual amount is estimated while awaiting update from GGV2LP for March 31/17)
2438543 ONTARIO INC.

Notes

Subsequent Event to May 31, 2017:

June 1, 2017: 2438543 Ontario Inc. received a payment from GG2VLP in the amount of $4.07 million. The payment happened as a result of the refinancing of the Grand Valley 2 Partnership.

June 23, 2017: 2438543 Ontario Inc. repaid the full amount of the outstanding loan of $2,584,333, without penalty.

2438543 Ontario Inc. has maintained its ownership in the wind project and will continue to derive financial benefits from it.
2. Burtch Lands

As this council is aware, the Six Nations Elected Band Council (SNEBC) served Haudenosaunee Lessee Kaediyosta (Kris Hill) with an Notice to Vacate the Burtch Property from the ‘Owners’: the Six Nations Elected Council and 9646035 Canada Limited. The unsigned notice was hand delivered on May 18, 2017 by two elected councilors. Then on June 24, 2017, Kaediyosta reported to council that she had since been served with an injunction notice and was to appear in court on Tuesday, June 27, 2017 at 2:15 pm.

The court process was predictably biased, not giving any consideration to the financial impact this has on Kaediyosta, the HCCC or the community, and gave no acknowledgement to the last 150 years of colonization including the impacts that their culturally genocidal policies of the Indian Act and other Canadian legislation has affected the Haudenosaunee. It also gave no consideration to the fact that the Canadian Court, as representative of the Crown, was in a conflict of interest and completely prejudicial given the parties that have taken the action against Kaediyosta a Haudenosaunee woman are all Crown entities: a Canadian corporation held by Phil Monture and Lonny Bomberry, and the Six Nations Elected Band council a body legislated by the Canadian Government through the Ministry of Indian or Aboriginal Affairs.

This system of justice was created by the Vatican to maintain control over the lands, resources and the indigenous people and has never been accepted or sanctioned by the Onkwehonweh, in particular the Haudenosaunee, and has taken place of the gun as the Crowns preferred weapon of war to enforce whatever scheme they have created to maintain their presence on the lands.

At the HCCC advisory meeting with Kaediyosta, the Chiefs and Clanmothers in attendance came to the concensus that the HCCC needed to make a response to Ontario regarding its reneging of the agreement that stemmed from the HSN Negotiations, widely knowing as “Peterson’s Promise”, and are currently strategizing amongst the Clan families and Nation as to what kind of action will be taken against the Provincial and Federal Crown for its interference in Haudenosaunee treaty rights over our lands.

In the meantime, Kaediyosta has maintained her presence on the land, protecting the crops
**Weekly Report**  
**June 26, 2017 – June 30, 2017**

**ARMS File 96, Pages 33-1. June 6, 1867.**

Pages 30 – 3 are the Pay List and census of the Six Nations for Spring of 1867.

**ARMS File 95, Pages 1640-1638. Jan. 10, 1862 – Jan. 9, 1862.**

The Council came before the Superintendent to give him a memorial that stated that the Six Nations did not want to be placed into the Laws of a Municipality.

**ARMS File 95, Pages 1635-1630. Jan. 3, 1862 – Dec. 21, 1861.**

The Council decided that their memorial to the Supt. will say that they do not want the Indian Protection Act to be passed because they do not want to be placed under the Municipal Laws.

**ARMS File 95, Pages 1629-1625. Dec. 7, 1861 – Nov. 27, 1861.**

The Supt. told the Council that he did not want to hear the Chiefs talking about taking action against people taking timber for themselves off the Reserve. The Chiefs then decided to not recognize any such acts unless they are passed in Council.

**ARMS File 96, Pages 1624-1619. Nov. 27, 1861 – Oct. 14, 1861.**

The Supt. read to the Chiefs the Department’s letter asking them if they want to reappoint their Doctor on the Reserve. They decided that they did and that they would give an increase to his salary.

**ARMS File 96, Pages 1618-1590. Oct. 14, 1861**

Pages 1616 – 1595 are the Pay List and census for the Six Nations of the Fall of 1861.

In a letter the Supt. stated that he was instructed to stop the Indians from having recourse to litigation amongst themselves, and instead have them go to a General Council.
May 17, 2006

Haudenosaunee Six Nations Confederacy Council
RR 2
Ohsweken ON N0A 1M0

Dear Haudenosaunee Six Nations Confederacy Council:

Subject: Burch Lands letter dated 10th May, 2006

As acknowledged by the Honourable David Peterson,

Ontario is prepared to return title to the Burch Lands to the Six Nations People. The land is to be available on an interim basis for the Six Nations people for immediate use while the land rights negotiations continue.

It is imperative that there be an immediate and full environmental review of the land to be carried out by the Province of Ontario.

The title of the Burch lands will be included in the land rights process of the Haudenosaunee/Six Nations/Canada/Ontario main table. It is the intention that the land title be returned to its original state, its status under the Haldimand Proclamation of 1784.

The Honourable David Peterson
7. Joint Stewardship Board (JSB)

Our next scheduled meeting for the JSB is set for February 21, 2013 at 1:00 p.m. at the GREAT office. Hamilton and the HCCC representatives will be reviewing the needs for the JSB in moving forward and determining what positions, if any, they will be tendering out.

8. Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs – Communications – Our File No.: 030-092

There has been some telephone communication between Aaron Detlor and Tom Molloy as well as email correspondence with Bruce Leslie, in an attempt to finalize the funding agreement before our meetings can proceed. It is hopeful that we have provided all necessary information, and that we will be sitting down in the next few weeks to discuss a number of matters of priority for this council.

9. Burtch Facility Lands – Our File No.: 030-067

Further to our report at last council, HDI has received an email from the SNFA on January 11, 2013 (attached), which indicates a different understanding of our November 3, 2012 council decision. It is our recommendation that the HCCC provide written correspondence to the SNFA regarding its decision of November 3, 2012 and have attached a draft for your consideration

Decision required:

That this council approve the draft correspondence to the SNFA to be sent out under the signature of Hohahes, council secretary

10. Silvercreek Solar Farm – Our File No.: 030-112

HDI has negotiated a tentative agreement with this company, which is a smaller REA project in the 1701 Treaty Territory, and have secured the following as part of our agreement:

a. financial benefit in the amount of $11,000/year/20 years

b. non relinquishment/lease based agreement of our treaty territory

c. **Decision required:**

that this council approve the tentative agreement with the Silvercreek Solar Farm and
provide HDI with instructions to proceed

11. Penn Energy – Our File No.: 030-048

Penn Energy ‘Brantgate’ solar farm project is a small, less than 10mw solar farm located in the Haldimand/1701 treaty area. HDI believes it has reached a tentative agreement with the Brant Park Solar company that includes:

a. Financial benefit in the amount of $2,500/year/20 year which Penn Energy has requested be made in a one time up front payment of $50,000.00

b. Non relinquishment/lease based agreement of treaty territory
Decision: Council accepted the presentation as information only and advised that with respect to transition team and removal of band council, that they were familiar with the presentation from over year ago, and that they wanted to continue to take the time to look over the presentation; and with respect to Foreign relations, this was new and would accept as information only and that the issues would be addressed one way or other.

3. HDI Report

Aaron Detlor provided a 4 page report from HDI that included 14 development proponents and/or areas of discussion and the decisions required in moving things forward.

Decisions:

1. Council accepted the tentative agreement with NextEra and provided HDI approval to continue with the tentative agreement as outlined in report
2. Council advised that they had put in trust with HDI to handle these situations such as with Capital Power and therefore agreed and encouraged the HDI to pursue a mediated process
3. Council agreed that the HDI should proceed with Samsung to obtain a separate agreement than the band council, that the money is to be funneled through Oswege, and that land be a component and finally, that it be a lease based agreement so as not to relinquish our treaty rights
4. Council confirmed that the matters relating to Burtch were put in the hands of HDI, and supported the draft correspondence to the Six Nations Farmers Association to go out under the signature of council secretary, Hohahes.
5. Council approved the tentative agreement with Silvercreek Solar Project and provided HDI approval to pursue entering agreement.
6. Council considered the one time payment from Penn Energy and questioned the difference in amounts from other similar projects, and nevertheless, encouraged HDI to pursue an agreement with this company consistent with other projects.
7. Council considered the draft regarding Silo Wireless at #1 School, and felt it was a good letter that should go to the community as soon as possible to make it clear that the band doesn’t have the right to the land or to be making decisions on the land and therefore approve the letter to go out under the signature of council secretary, Hohahes.
3. **Enbridge – Line 10**

HDI has attempted to engage with Enbridge for a number of years, and rather than put HDI environmental monitors on the integrity digs on Line 10 to allow our monitors opportunity to examine the status of the 30 year old lines they were working on, Enbridge refused, citing safety reasons, ignoring the fact that HDI environmental monitors had worked on the GTA line in Toronto. Then to add insult to injury, Enbridge decided to take legal action against two Haudenosaunee men, Todd Williams and Wayne Hill, who had been setting hunting traps in the areas they were working as part of an over-all study they were conducting on their own time. This left HDI & Enbridge in an impossible position to move forward.

HDI then contacted the consultant we worked with on the GTA line and through her assistance, made our concerns known to the Enbridge head office, including our concerns of their continued violations of all ‘consultation processes’ that have been recommended by Ontario: The Ipperwash Enquiry states developers not use injunctions and police to avoid engagement, the UNDRIP which requires respect of ‘Indigenous Nations’ own governance structure’s such as the HDI, and the over-all colonizing attitude and enforcement of foreign laws and culturally genocidal policies on our people.

So while archaeology monitoring has been on-going, Enbridge has now recently agreed to HDI having one environmental monitor on the remainder of the project, which is still a problem since the HDI policy to date has been to have a minimum of 2 monitors on each site in keeping with HCCC policy in sending a delegation anywhere. They have however recently agreed to having the archaeology monitor remain as a construction monitor with the Environmental monitor. **Attachment #3 (a)**

The biggest and most critical element of contention to consider is whether or not the HCCC want to continue monitoring or participating in a project being done by a company that has displayed complete arrogance and ignorance when it comes to treaty rights of Indigenous Nations throughout Turtle Island.

HDI requires direction from council on whether it wishes to proceed with monitoring and the engagement process in seeking justification from Enbridge for the infringement of our treaty rights by the Line 10 Project.

The policies set by the HDI for justification for the treaty infringement were provided through input from the people during the negotiations of Kanonhstaton. Those policies were

1. compensation for past use of land,
2. compensation for use of land going forward and,
3. replacement of land lost, depending on the size of the project.

This particular line being over 30 years old means this company owes at a minimum 30 years of compensation for loss of use of the land as well as compensation for loss of use going forward along with the replacement of land being utilized.
There has been some suggestions for possible justification for this council to consider:

- For the past loss of use of land could be the restoration of old council house. It would require an updated evaluation done, likely by the company who had done the original costing.

- For future loss of use an annual lease of an agreed upon amount could be used for capacity development in the language programs, set up as a 20 year lease, renewable upon expiration.

- For the replacement of land we looked at the land values in Southwestern Ontario which go anywhere from a minimum of $6,000/acre to roughly $22,000/acre. You could determine the amount of acres to replace based on the land taken up by the pipe line. Those costs would be based on the over-all value divided equally over a 20 year period. These are just examples if council should decide to proceed.

**Clan Family Feedback**

Enbridge has already disregarded our treaties & criminalized our people – no pipeline

Enbridge has worked extensively in other parts of the world to force their pipeline through Onkwehonweh territory, such as their 20% ownership in the NODAPL. It’s important that we maintain our presence and stewardship of our treaty lands

Should continue monitoring at a minimal

These big oil and gas companies have built their empires on the resources of our people, they should be made to provide compensation and damages.

HDI requires direction from the HCCC if they want to continue engagement with Enbridge.

**Decision Required:**

a) That this council provide HDI direction to proceed with monitoring only

b) That this council provide HDI direction to proceed with both monitoring and engagement

c) That this council does not wish to proceed with Enbridge on any level

d) Some other decision of this council
Hi Hazel,

In an effort to simplify the agreement regarding construction monitoring, I have attached a draft amendment to the February 9th executed agreement. Let me know what you think?

As we discussed previously, here is what is being contemplated in the draft amendment which include two components to the construction monitoring plan:

a) archaeological construction monitoring; and
b) traditional land use construction monitoring.

Archaeological Construction Monitoring

- One HDI archaeological construction monitor will conduct monitoring within 50 m of the boundary of all identified archaeological sites. The archaeological construction monitor(s) will participate in site specific archaeological protection fieldwork. The construction crew that will be conducting ground disturbance activities such as, fence erection; topsoil stripping, ditching etc. will be accompanied by a licensed archaeologist and an HDI archaeological monitor, per active construction site.

Traditional Land Use Construction Monitoring (Environmental Monitoring)

- One HDI (monitor) will be designated to participate in construction monitoring activities during the construction phase of the Project. The HDI construction monitor will conduct monitoring at sensitive sites undergoing active construction work on a daily basis with technical on-site support from the Enbridge construction management team and environment team. The HDI construction monitor will be embedded
within the construction management team and will work directly with the inspection team on a daily basis. He/she will have access to the ROW for the purpose of assessing, recording and/or document areas of interest from an environmental perspective. This is a full time position working on daily basis with the Line 10 inspection team.

Prior to construction starting we intend to have a construction monitoring agreement in place, similar to the archaeological & environmental monitoring agreements we’ve executed in the past. As a next step, it would be great if you could take a look at the draft amendment and let me know if you have any questions or comments.

I look forward to hearing back from you regarding the above information.

Regards,
Sonia
4. **Aaron Detlor ats. Bill Monture & Lester Green**

The trial between Aaron Detlor and two representatives of the mens fire who were charged with assault for their part in forcibly removing Aaron Detlor from the HDI office on April 26, 2016 began on Monday June 12, 2017.

HDI staff and consultants were served with a subpoena to appear as a witness and were required to testify on Thursday, June 15, 2017 and again on Monday June 19, 2017.

The men’s fire have based their actions as part of their responsibility within the Kaienerekowah, and have claimed they acted on behalf of the Haudenosaunee.

Paul Delorande was brought in as an expert witness for the defendants.

Instead of dealing with the assault the courts are determining whether or not the men’s actions were in fact part of their responsibility in the Kaienerekowah.

This is a very dangerous precedent as once again we have courts deciding whether or not Haudenosaunee Law applies or does not apply and basically determining the validity of the Kaienerekowah. This action could be viewed as throwing away the role of our clan families as our internal dispute mechanism.

It would be of assistance if this council were to consider making a public statement about the validity of the men’s fire, their claim about upholding the law as their defence, and their taking action against HDI and others within a court of law. It would also be of assistance if this council were to direct a letter to the courts reminding the court it has no business dealing or determining the status of Haudenosaunee law and/or rights.

**Decision Required:**

(a) **That this council authorizes Hohahes to write a statement and prepare a draft letter both of which will be reviewed by this council prior to being released**

(b) **That this council does not wish to make a statement or a letter**

(c) **Some other decision of this council**
5. **Communications**

**Communications Consultant**

HDI has received notification from Ms. Lynda Powless’s accountant that Ms. Powless has given her resignation. HDI did not receive official notice personally but were advised by the accountant when we asked about the invoice we received. Upon enquiry we were advised Ms. Powless had provided her resignation to the Chiefs and Clanmothers by email.

HDI has not taken any steps to replace the communications consultant at this time. Ms. Powless has been paid in full for her services as requested. We will wait for further direction from this council before considering hiring another consultant.

In the mean time, HDI will continue with our communications strategy with Nicole Childs who manages our Website. Recently we met to discuss improvements to the navigation as well as content.

With respect to any media enquiries, HDI Director Hazel Hill will respond directly.

**Website**

**Clan Discussion section**

We are currently creating a “Clan Discussion” page which we hope to post our monthly reports to council two weeks in advance so that clan families have an opportunity to see what is going to be discussed and provide their input. This section has been added for this particular report to council.

**Comments/requests section**

**May 27, 2017** - Question from a John A. McDonald. Do the Haudenosaunee view people of other cultures cutting their hair in the “Mohawk” hairstyle as culture appropriation?

**June 14, 2017** - Yael Sacks writing from a Jewish school in New York city looking for a Haudenosaunee teacher to assist teaching their class about Haudenosaunee history, culture and current issues. Does this council have a recommendation we can provide?

HDI Director Hazel Hill continues to respond to the questions on the HCCC website to the best of her ability and if she feels she is not capable, will defer to this council or the Resource Centre for their assistance. Does this council wish for HDI to continue with this aspect or is there some other person better qualified to respond?

We’ve also attached your monthly statistic report from the website for your review.

(#5 a Attachment)
Other Communications

Phone calls

Quite often HDI receives emails from people with questions relating to either their identity as a Haudenosaunee, or even questions related to council, process, status of events etc. If it is something that we can't answer, we send them to the Resource Centre for Sue and Arlene to respond or assist and if necessary, will defer to this council for a response.

Newsletter

It has been suggested that perhaps the newsletter should become a monthly report on the happenings of the HCCC. HDI is preparing a draft for your consideration however, it has been difficult to get the participation of other committees or delegations for the content for this communications piece.
HAUDENOSAUNEE CONFEDERACY
June Visitor Report

Website Stats Overview
June's stats are holding steady with only a slight increase in visitors from last month. While the stats are slightly lower than usually seen throughout the year they are 3,000 sessions higher than last year at the same time.

– June saw 8,770 visitors, which is only slightly higher than last month's 8,350.
– This month's visitors looked at 16,731 pages, slightly lower than last month's 18,670.
– Users looked at an average of 1.91 pages per session with the majority of the visitors being new unique users. This is a bit lower than last month's 2.24 pages.
– The average session is also down slightly from last month's 2.51 minutes to 1.41 minutes.
– The bounce rate, the rate at which people leave the site after viewing only one page, is higher at 69.42%.

Where are people visiting from?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>1,210 (13.80%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary</td>
<td>646 (7.37%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>352 (4.01%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>199 (2.27%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>179 (2.04%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(not set)</td>
<td>176 (2.01%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>133 (1.52%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brampton</td>
<td>125 (1.43%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>115 (1.31%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal</td>
<td>115 (1.31%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This month the majority of visitors again came from Toronto (1,210), followed by Alberta with 646 visitors from Calgary and 352 from Edmonton. Other visits came from Hamilton (199), New York (179), Kitchener (133), Brampton (125), London (115) and Montreal (115).
How are visitors finding the site?

As usual, these stats are steady over the last couple of months although the sources and keywords used are constantly changing.

From this chart we can see the various sources of traffic. The majority of visits (5,093) are coming from Organic Searches, which is only slightly lower than last month's 6,651. This means that people are using keywords in the Google search bar and clicking on the links that come up. Common keywords this month are: "haudenosaunee", "clan mother", "haudenosaunee government", "iroquois symbols arrow", "mohawk clans", and "six nations confederacy".

Direct visits, visits from people typing the address into the website bar have jumped lightly from last month's 1,389 to this month's 2,151.

Referral visits, visits from websites which have links on their sites to the Confederacy site, have jumped from 244 last month to 1,484 this month. Referrals this month came from: native-land.ca, wikipedia.org, kahnawakelonghouse.com, toronto.ca, kidrex.org, and duckduckgo.com.

42 visits came from social profiles which is slightly lower than last month's 69. This month the majority of visits came from Facebook (37), Pinterest (2), Twitter (2), and Weebly (1).

This month the bounce rate was best on visits coming from organic search results. Newest visitors came from Organic search.
What are visitors looking at?

As usual the majority of visits (32.57%) are to the home page but there’s a nice spread among other pages.

Top Pages

1. Home Page
2. League of Nations Page
3. Wampum Page
4. Clan System Page
5. About Us Page
6. Home Page (This is an alternative route)
7. Grand Council Page
8. Symbols Page
9. Clan Mothers Page
10. Food and Farming Page
The Haudenosaunee Confederacy page gained 34 new page likes during June. The post reach is down to 2,441 from last month's 5,128 reach. Page views are down from last month's 92 to this month's 55.

This chart shows you which posts have been the most read and shared. You only had one post in June. This post received 82 post clicks, 39 reactions, comments and shares, and had a post reach of 1.1 thousand.
This chart shows you the general demographics of people who like your page. While they will change slightly from month to month for the most part your main demographic is women aged 35-44.

This last chart shows you where your fans are from and interestingly shows a nice mix between Canada and US.
6. Daisy Group

Further to the Direction of this Council at the June 3, 2017 council, HDI advised the Daisy Group that Daisy’s original contract was at an end. In an email to the Clan Mothers and Chiefs on June 7, 2017, Director of HDI advised they had a 60-day termination clause in the contract with Daisy which will expire on August 4, 2017. In the interim, HDI continues working with Daisy on the following matters:

1. Federal Ministry of Energy:
   a. National Energy Board process
   b. Haudenosaunee Treaty Rights
   c. HCCC process
   d. HONI sale of shares to ‘First Nations’

2. Federal Ministry of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada:
   a. Matters related to Haudenosaunee at Grand River, Haudenosaunee treaty rights, INAC enforcement of Indian Act processes on the Haudenosaunee, as well as other items
   b. HDI received correspondence from Prime Minister Trudeau Attachment #6 (a) as well an email response from Minister Bennett’s office indicating their desire to meet
   c. Other items include, the transfer of Burtch to SNEBC, CN Rail project and their disregard of the Haudenosaunee monitoring process, and the Prime Minister’s Working Group of Ministers on the Review of Laws and Policies related to Indigenous Peoples (this would allow HCCC input on the ongoing effects of the Indian Act)

3. Federal Ministry of Infrastructure:
   a. Funding for JSB Interpretive Centre and possible funding for other Haudenosaunee projects

4. Other Members of Parliament:
   a. Follow up with the MPs that HDI/HCCC have previously met with
   b. MP Michelle Rempel’s response letter attached as example Attachment #6(b)

5. Ministry of Environment:
   a. CN Rail project in Milton

   Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency/Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation (MIRR) says HDI is required to seek permission of SNEBC ‘Chiefs’ and that we need to discuss being added to the EA list of stakeholders Attachment #6(c). Effectively, Ontario and the SNEBC have pushed back against the involvement of the Haudenosaunee on any project and HDI consultants have been advised that the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, in collaboration with INAC and Justice Canada, determined that they would deal only with
the Six Nations Elected Band Council because in their minds our people have adopted that process.

The HCCC must continue to advocate and lobby the Federal Government against this unilateral decision of eliminating the Haudenosaunee from the process of dealing with our treaty rights. Being the first of its kind, the HDI monitoring process helped build the ‘First Nations’ monitoring program that exists today.

Ultimately, while the Haudenosaunee are the only ones who have treaty rights to the lands, the Ontario and Federal Crown are continuing to deal exclusively with the Elected Band Council and are not honouring the reconciliation process that Prime Minister Trudeau mandated upon his party’s election in 2015.

Further, we have communications issues that Daisy is assisting with as well such as, responding to Enbridge’s claims. This is critical to educating surrounding communities about HCCC as well as responding to all the negative and non-factual articles appearing in local media.

With respect to costs, Daisy’s annual contract is roughly equal to the annual contract for our local communications consultant for the 2017-2018 fiscal period. Many consultancies will bill for additional costs over and above what the contract stipulates – Daisy is an exception. To date, we have not been billed on an hourly rate but have continued with the monthly retainer stipulated by our contract. Daisy has honoured the original contract despite a larger scope of work being required of them.

Another consideration for this Council is the fact that any lobbying activities in Ottawa are legally required to be disclosed. Daisy is highly recommended and has had significant results working with the HDI on behalf of the HCCC, as well as for Indigenous groups across the country, including Self-Governing First Nations and Band Councils. Lisa Kinsella, who works directly with the HCCC delegation, worked on Parliament Hill for many years and now largely focuses the work she does in Ottawa on Indigenous issues. Warren Kinsella previously worked for former Prime Minister Jean Chretien and has served as a Ministerial Special Representative for INAC (previously AANDC and DIAND) under Prime Ministers’ Harper, Martin and Chretien.

Daisy has successfully arranged 31 meetings for HDI/HCCC in Ottawa, including:

- Prime Minister’s Office
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Natural Resources
- Ministry of Justice
- Ministry of the Environment
- Ministry of Infrastructure
- Ministry of Innovation, Science and the Environment
- Parliamentary Secretary to the Prime Minister
- Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Infrastructure
The HCCC has had remarkable gains with the work being done on their behalf in Ottawa by Daisy & HDI. Together with Daisy, we were building relationships that are essential and critical in keeping with the protocols of the Two Row and Silver Covenant Chain in holding Canada responsible for its part in upholding the Honour of the Crown.

Daisy has offered to draft a new contract that includes a clear and specific scope of work for the HCCC, should this Council agree to proceed with the next phase of lobbying to ensure the HCCC's land and treaty rights – as well as our other initiatives – are preserved and protected.

HDI recommends the HCCC proceed with a new agreement with the Daisy Group and leaves it to this Council for their consideration.

Decision Required:

(a) That this Council agrees that Daisy will provide a draft agreement for their consideration

(b) That this Council does not want to consider further lobbying with the assistance of Daisy

(c) Some other decision of this Council
June 1, 2017

Ms. Hazel E. Hill
Director
Haudenosaunee Development Institute
P.O. Box 714
Suite 600
16 Sunrise Court
Ohsweken, Ontario
NOA 1MO

Dear Ms. Hill:

On behalf of the Right Honourable Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister of Canada, I would like to acknowledge receipt of your correspondence of May 23, 2017, requesting to meet with officials from Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada.

Thank you for taking the time to write to the Prime Minister. You may be assured that your correspondence, written on behalf of the Haudenosaunee Development Institute, has been carefully reviewed.

As you know, the issue you raise falls under the purview of the Honourable Carolyn Bennett, Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs, to whom you addressed your letter. While the Prime Minister appreciates being made aware of your views, he will leave the matter to be considered by Minister Bennett.

Once again, thank you for writing to the Prime Minister.

Yours sincerely,

R. Olshansky
Executive Correspondence Officer
June 15, 2017

Hazel E. Hill, Director
Haudenosaunee Development Institute
P.O. Box 714
Ohsweken, Ontario
N0A 1M0

Dear Ms. Hill,

Thank you for the very informative meeting with yourself and Oneida Clanmother Mary Sandy in February. I found it very compelling to hear about the work being done by the Haudenosaunee Confederacy and to learn more about the traditional governing body of Six Nations.

I would also like to thank you for sending me a copy of the DVD, The Dish with One Spoon. I have sincerely appreciated the opportunities you have given me to learn more about the Haudensauhnee culture and I look forward to continued dialogue with you and the Haudenosaunee Development Institute in the near future.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Hon. Michelle Rempel, M.P.
Calgary Nose Hill
June 13, 2017

Hazel E. Hill
Director
Haudenosaunee Development Institute
16 Sunrise Court, Suite 600
P.O. Box 714
Ohsweken, ON N0A 1M0

RE: Milton Logistics Hub Project – Aboriginal Engagement

Dear Ms. Hill:

I am following up with the Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI) as a result of a conversation between Mr. Todd Williams, an employee of the HDI, and myself regarding the proposed Milton Logistics Hub Project (the Project).

On May 18, 2017, the Agency received an email from Mr. Williams inquiring about the HDI’s potential involvement in the Project. On June 7, 2017, the HDI forwarded a letter from the Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs and Council (HCCC) confirming that the HDI are sanctioned and mandated to deal with development matters involving Haudenosaunee Lands and Rights. Based on these letters and conversations with Mr. Williams, we have come to understand that the HDI believe that they were not appropriately consulted on the proposed Project.

As you may be aware, the proponent, the Canadian National Railway Company (CN), is proposing the construction and operation of a logistics hub, designed to transfer containers between trucks and railcars. The Project would include a railway yard with more than 20 kilometres of track located in Milton, Ontario, about 50 kilometres west of Toronto.

On May 22, 2015, the Agency determined that an environmental assessment (EA) was required under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 (CEAA 2012) as the Project is a designated project as described in section 25(b) Regulations Designating Physical Activities. On July 20, 2015, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change referred the EA of the Project to an independent review panel.

Under CEAA 2012, the EA process is used as a means of examining whether a project’s environmental effects may affect the exercise of potential or established Aboriginal or Treaty rights. In order to do so, the Agency acts as Crown Consultation Coordinator (CCC) to integrate the Government of Canada’s Aboriginal consultation activities into the EA process, to the extent possible.
As the CCC for the Project, the Agency has been actively consulting a number of Indigenous groups with potential or established Aboriginal or treaty rights that may be affected by the Project. Specifically, the Agency has been consulting with the elected chiefs of the Six Nations of the Grand River on how the proposed Project may have adverse impacts on any potential or established treaty rights of the members of the Haudenosaunee.

The Agency will continue to engage in consultation activities with the Six Nations of the Grand River as the collective rights holder in the Project area. In order for the Agency to engage in consultation activities the HDI or HCCC, we would require written confirmation from the Six Nations of the Grand River that you have been authorized with delegated authority to speak on behalf of the elected chiefs of the Six Nations of the Grand River.

In the absence of this written confirmation, you are encouraged to participate in the EA of the Project. Should you be interested in receiving Project related updates and notifications throughout the EA process, including opportunities for participation, we encourage you to contact the Review Panel to be added to the distribution list for the Project. The Review Panel can be contacted via email at MiltonHubPanel@ceaa.gc.ca. Please be assured that your personal information will be kept confidential.

Should you have any additional comments or questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at Jason.Boisvert@ceaa-acee.gc.ca.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Jason Boisvert  
Crown Consultation Coordinator
7. Other Business:

**Haudenosaunee Cultural and Administration Building**

In March 2016 this council passed that HDI work with the HCCC advisory to investigate moving forward with the proposed building of a Cultural and Administration Building and to bring matters back to this council. This council has also set aside $200,000 toward this building.

HDI sought the draft plans from Chief Arnold Jacobs and seek direction from this council if this draft is an acceptable place to begin. Next steps will be to seek a costs estimate etc. *(Attachment #7a)*

**Decision required:**

(a) That this council approve HDI taking the next steps on the conceptual plans presented

(b) that this council would like to see other options

(c) some other decision of this council

**Haudenosaunee Lands Acquired**

In January 2017 this council sanctioned a letter to go out to municipalities regarding possible services agreement. The letters have all been sent to the various municipalities with a copy provided to the Premier of Ontario Kathleen Wynne. HDI has not paid any taxes on these properties. Our auditors KPMG have provided a land tax summary for this councils information. *(Attachment # 7b)*

**HDI Monitoring Program**

The HDI Monitoring Program has been hit with a huge resistance from the development world. Part of this was brought on by the Enbridge court case which is being deliberately misinterpreted as having eliminated Haudenosaunee treaty rights within the Nanfan.

The other part of it is a result of a huge push back by Ontario and Canada along with their Indian Act Band Councils trying to stop the Haudenosaunee Confederacy from maintaining stewardship and gaining resources from the lease of their treaty lands.

It should be noted that the Enbridge court case had nothing to do with the extinguishment of Treaty Rights, but was strictly about an injunction because Enbridge and their current ‘Indian Agent’ claimed that the men who were exercising their hunting rights were causing a
disturbance to their development project, even though the hunting traps were being set outside of the area where the integrity digs were being done.

As it stands now, HDI attempts to work with development proponents in any of our treaty lands have resulted in developers, consultants, municipalities, ministries, and first nations making claims that the Nanfan Treaty rights of the Haudenosaunee no longer exist and the HDI is being shut down. There have even been First Nations claiming that the Haudenosaunee have to have ‘permission’ from their Nations to attend on the land. The result could mean the lay off of 7 to 10 monitors at the beginning our season.

This attitude toward the Haudenosaunee is completely opposite to the understanding that we carry in our minds of the relationship between the Haudenosaunee and other Nations which is based on the Dish With One Spoon. It is also very Colonial and divisive in thinking and is another example of the Crown’s success at “Divide and Conquer”.

Another incident of excluding HDI monitors is at the Woodland Cultural Centre. New Credit monitors have been hired at the Woodland site where an archaeology study is taking place under the direction of the SNEBC. From what we have been advised, the Archaeology company, ARA is donating their time there, and because HDI has been refused monitoring positions on the site, our monitors have been donating their time when they can, given the significance of this site. There has been no explanation as to why New Credit monitors are the only one’s being paid, particularly since the Haudenosaunee have a direct cultural affiliation with the site but have been excluded from the process.

There has also been a great deal of concern being expressed from community members about this dig since all of the apple tree’s have been cut down on the front lawn, & people are wondering why it was necessary to destroy all of the tree’s that have their own significance to the history of the residential school. Many of our people have testified that there were burials under each of those apple tree’s so there needs to be careful and extensive monitoring of the site by our people.

Then the court action by Enbridge, & the on-going discriminatory and inner racial acts against HDI monitors by the SNEBC and the MNCFN monitors & occasional archaeologists, coupled with the court action of the Men’s Fire and their accusations of financial mismanagement, have been all part of the strategy of the Crown as they continue to work to shut down HDI altogether and this has caused a great deal of difficulty for the HDI monitoring program.

As proof in the pudding, on July 4th, 2017, the Men’s Fire attended at the Enbridge Line 10 work site for a ‘tour’, even though Enbridge continues to cite safety reasons for not allowing HDI environmental monitors on the site. These tactics demonstrate that Enbridge has not been dealing honourably with the Haudenosaunee and are giving purported power to a group of men, outside of the Haudenosaunee process, which tears away at the foundation of our Confederacy.

On top of that the Provincial Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs, under the direction of Randy Reid, provided the Men’s Fire recognition as an entity in its meetings with the Band Council,
Haldimand Township, McClung Road Developers and other’s to try and force the HCCC to participate in its round table discussion simply as a ‘stakeholders’ rather than a Nation with treaty rights. HDI under the direction of this council has worked extensively to preserve the position of the HCCC by not lowering our council to the status of a band or first nation and this has caused Ministries and Municipalities to work hard to shut down the HDI.

This strategy of the Crown was not the first that the Haudenosaunee have endured. In the Minutes of Council between Oct. 9, 1907 and January 7, 1908 there were two entries that are very similar to what this council is dealing with today:

*Council presented a petition to the Department from the majority of the Six Nations people who are satisfied with their Chiefs, and the old system of Government under the Six Nations Confederacy and to convince the Department that the “Indian Rights Association” are misleading the Department.*

*Council wrote a letter to a member of Parliament informing them that the unauthorized deputation from the Indian Warriors Association is going to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs to try and change the system of government of the Six Nations of Chiefs to an Elective Council.*

The actions of the Crown haven’t changed much just the names of the ‘groups’ they use to undermine the Haudenosaunee. In the 1900’s it was the ‘Indian Warriors or Indian Rights Association’, today it’s the ‘Men’s Fire’ or the ‘Native Supreme Council’.

The work that HDI was doing in Ottawa was specifically dealing with some of these issues, and critical in protecting Haudenosaunee land and treaty rights from the on-going devolution to the Canadian Indian Act representatives, the Six Nations Elected Band Council. The SNEBC continue to appropriate Haudenosaunee symbolism, treaties, land rights and have even on occasion appropriated sacred ceremonies conducting them in a public forum such as when Samsung visited the territory and an ‘Edge of the Woods’ ceremony performed by someone hired by the band.

The HCCC need to take a strong stand at this time to demonstrate to the rest of the world that the Courts, the Provincial and Federal Crown, the Band Council, the Men’s Fire, Native Court Justice System and any other groups who have been sanctioned by the Crown DO NOT have authority to determine the treaty rights or the spiritual, political or human rights of the Haudenosaunee, and we need to push back against all of those who have aligned themselves in this campaign against the Haudenosaunee.

Our people need to see our Chiefs stand up on this and stand against this attack on the coming faces.

**Finances**
The financial report for May 2017 is attached for your review. The June report will be provided the following month. *(Attachment #7c)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Tax Roll</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk County</td>
<td>336-020-13700-0000</td>
<td>1,447.06</td>
<td>224.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,671.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Brant</td>
<td>2920-001-000-33102-0000</td>
<td>1,129.16</td>
<td>2,505.84</td>
<td>2,406.53</td>
<td>6,041.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Brant</td>
<td>2920-004-030-54804-0000</td>
<td>2,325.03</td>
<td>4,475.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6,800.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,901.25</td>
<td>7,205.44</td>
<td>2,406.53</td>
<td>14,513.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HAUDENOSAUNEE DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

Statement of Financial Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>827,363</td>
<td>1,189,625</td>
<td>738,303</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments (GIC)</td>
<td>1,000,308</td>
<td>1,000,308</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from GRETI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>702,748</td>
<td>1,226,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts) *</td>
<td>951,656</td>
<td>602,746</td>
<td>744,468</td>
<td>530,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivable from 2438543 Ontario Inc. - Other</td>
<td>2,875</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>7,092</td>
<td>6,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivable from 2438543 Ontario Inc. - Land</td>
<td>910,500</td>
<td>866,698</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,977</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>3,692,769</td>
<td>3,663,929</td>
<td>2,192,611</td>
<td>1,764,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital assets (furniture,equipment) - net</strong></td>
<td>13,504</td>
<td>13,504</td>
<td>19,213</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital assets (land) - now correctly appears on 2438543 Ontario Inc.</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>532,005</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Net Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>73,947</td>
<td>55,607</td>
<td>36,465</td>
<td>48,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to GRETI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to Ogwawihsta Dedwahsnye</td>
<td>2,422</td>
<td>22,570</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long term liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage payable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment losses in 2438543 Ontario Inc. net</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>247,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>76,369</td>
<td>143,177</td>
<td>36,465</td>
<td>296,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net assets</td>
<td>3,629,904</td>
<td>3,534,256</td>
<td>2,707,364</td>
<td>1,468,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total commitments</strong></td>
<td>3,629,904</td>
<td>3,534,256</td>
<td>2,707,364</td>
<td>1,468,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net assets</strong></td>
<td>3,706,273</td>
<td>3,677,433</td>
<td>2,743,829</td>
<td>1,764,219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Final accounts receivable figure for 2016-17 currently being finalized during audit process.
HAUDENOSAUNEE DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE
Statement of Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenues (Schedule)</th>
<th>Expenses (Schedule)</th>
<th>Excess of revenues over expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDI Administration</td>
<td>23,507 219,473 229,041 662,275 283,204</td>
<td>131,141 1,066,524 931,724 996,485 1,007,848</td>
<td>95,648 826,892 991,908 660,183 526,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDI Office</td>
<td>4,111 26,151 0 0 0</td>
<td>80,622 580,240 459,807 410,926 355,899</td>
<td>80,622 580,240 459,807 410,926 355,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Study Centre</td>
<td>382,808 1,820,983 1,132,137 811,415 1,029,416</td>
<td>77,914 913,503 400,000 0 0</td>
<td>77,914 913,503 400,000 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Centre</td>
<td>4,050 582,156 584,232 846,524 536,309</td>
<td>29,717 310,266 187,459 360,543 279,035</td>
<td>29,717 310,266 187,459 360,543 279,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land lease fees</td>
<td>91,367 1,012,336 677,470 389,750 220,000</td>
<td>83,720 208,895 102,260 193,133 226,993</td>
<td>83,720 208,895 102,260 193,133 226,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burtch Restoration Project</td>
<td>0 0 2,995 131,708 0</td>
<td>0 40,856 0 0 296,813</td>
<td>0 40,856 0 0 296,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiating fees</td>
<td>0 0 212,500 0 0</td>
<td>0 308 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 308 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land acquisition fees</td>
<td>9,375 365,000 375,000 9,375 0</td>
<td>0 28,129 0 62,501 49,836</td>
<td>0 28,129 0 62,501 49,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue (GRETI WSIB)</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>515,217 4,095,392 3,213,375 2,913,548 2,415,578</td>
<td>419,569 3,268,501 2,221,467 2,253,365 1,888,608</td>
<td>419,569 3,268,501 2,221,467 2,253,365 1,888,608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Final bad debt amount for 2016-17 currently being finalized during audit process.